Recap: Matrix Calculus & Basic Probability EECE454 Intro. to Machine Learning Systems



Last class

- Vectors & Matrices
- Multiplications
- Norms, Column / Row / Null space
- Eigendecomposition & SVD
- Today.
 - Gram-Schmidt
 - Matrix Calculus
 - Probability

Gram-Schmidt (QR decomposition)

QR decomposition

• Last class. We reviewed SVD—a neat method to decompose any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$ into $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}$

QR decomposition

- Last class. We reviewed SVD—a neat method to decompose any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ into $A = U\Sigma V^{\top}$
- **Today.** A more compact decomposition, when $m \ge n$

- $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a unitary matrix (i.e., $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$)
- $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is an upper triangular matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 & \cdots \\ \mathbf{e}_1 & \cdots \\ \mathbf{e}_1 & \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{R}$

Idea



• Take a look at each column of A:

$$\mathbf{a}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{e}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_m \\ | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{e}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{m} \\ | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$



• Take a look at each column of A:



 $a_1 = r_{11}e_1$ $\mathbf{a}_2 = r_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 + r_{22}\mathbf{e}_2$ (...)

Idea

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} | & \cdots & | \\ \mathbf{e}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{m} \\ | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{a}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} | & \cdots & | & | & | & r_{11} \\ \mathbf{e}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{m} \\ | & \cdots & | & | & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} | & \cdots & | & | & r_{12} \\ \mathbf{e}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_{m} \\ | & \cdots & | & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\$

$\mathbf{a}_1 = r_{11}\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = r_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 + r_{22}\mathbf{e}_2,$ • • •

• Now it is quite easy to see how it works — called Gram-Schmidt process

$\mathbf{a}_1 = r_{11}\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = r_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 + r_{22}\mathbf{e}_2,$ • • •

- Now it is quite easy to see how it works called Gram-Schmidt process
 - Make \mathbf{e}_1 by normalizing \mathbf{a}_1



$\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2}, \quad r_{11} = \|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2$

$\mathbf{a}_1 = r_{11}\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = r_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 + r_{22}\mathbf{e}_2,$ • • •

- Now it is quite easy to see how it works called Gram-Schmidt process
 - Make \mathbf{e}_1 by normalizing \mathbf{a}_1

• Make \mathbf{e}_2 by (1) subtracting the \mathbf{a}_1 -direction, and (2) normalizing the remainder

$$r_{12} = \mathbf{a}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1\|_2}, \quad r_{22} = \|\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1\|_2$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{1} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{1}}{\|\mathbf{a}_{1}\|_{2}}, \quad r_{11} = \|\mathbf{a}_{1}\|_{2}$$

$\mathbf{a}_1 = r_{11}\mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{a}_2 = r_{12}\mathbf{e}_1 + r_{22}\mathbf{e}_2,$ • • •

- Now it is quite easy to see how it works called Gram-Schmidt process
 - Make \mathbf{e}_1 by normalizing \mathbf{a}_1



$$r_{12} = \mathbf{a}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1\|_2}, \quad r_{22} = \|\mathbf{a}_2 - r_{12} \mathbf{e}_1\|_2$$

• Repeat!

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}_1}{\|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2}, \quad r_{11} = \|\mathbf{a}_1\|_2$$



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Gram-Schmidt_orthonormalization_process.gif

Matrix decomposition

- There are plenty of these.
 - SVD, QR, Cholesky, LU, ...
- These tend to have different purposes.
 - People use QR for solving finding **x** such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$

Matrix decomposition

- There are plenty of these.
 - SVD, QR, Cholesky, LU, ...
- These tend to have different purposes.
 - People use QR for solving finding **x** such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$
 - Different strengths and weaknesses
 - Numerical stability of the algorithm dramatically differs! (Sec. 2 of "Numerical Recipes" is much recommended)

NUMERICAL

The Art of Scientific Computing

THIRD EDITION

William H. Press P. Flanneru



Matrix Calculus

- Univariate calculus. Finding an optimal scalar $w \in \mathbb{R}$ for a one-dimensional datum.
 - Example. Find a linear function f(x) = wx that minimizes the loss function $\ell(\hat{y}, y) = (\hat{y} y)^2$.

Given a single datum (x_0, y_0) , then we are solving

- $\min \mathscr{L}(w)$:= $w \in \mathbb{R}$
- Question. How do we solve?

$$= \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} (y_0 - w x_0)^2$$



- Univariate calculus. Finding an optimal scalar $w \in \mathbb{R}$ for a one-dimensional datum.

Given a single datum (x_0, y_0) , then we are solving



- <u>Question</u>. How do we solve?
- <u>Answer</u>. Inspect the **critical points**, where

• Example. Find a linear function f(x) = wx that minimizes the loss function $\ell(\hat{y}, y) = (\hat{y} - y)^2$.

 $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} \mathscr{L}(w) := \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}} (y_0 - wx_0)^2$

$$\frac{\partial \mathscr{L}(w)}{\partial w} = 0$$



- **Multivariate case.** Use vector / matrix calculus to find optimal parameter.
 - Example. Find a linear model $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ● that minimizes the squared ℓ_2 loss $\ell(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y}) = \|\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$.

 $\frac{\partial(\|\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2)}{\|\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2} = \mathbf{0}$

Given a single datum (x_0, y_0) , we want to inspect the critical point where

- Multivariate case. Use vector / matrix calculus to find optimal parameter.
 - Example. Find a linear model $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ that minimizes the squared ℓ_2 loss $\ell(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{y}) = ||\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2$.

Given a single datum (x_0, y_0) , we want to inspect the **critical point** where

 $\frac{\partial(\|\mathbf{y}_0 - \mathbf{y}_0\|)}{\partial \mathbf{y}_0}$

- Want to know. How to handle the gradient w.r.t. matrices
 - -> this still requires evaluating gradients (more on next class)

$$-\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}$$
 = 0

<u>Note</u>. Sometimes, we want to run iterative algorithms to find solutions (e.g., GD),

Gradients

• For a scalar variable *x*, differentiating a ...

•	Scalar function	$y \in \mathbb{R}$:	$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$
•	Vector function	$\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$:	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}$
•	Matrix function:	$\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{Y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\frac{y_1}{\partial x} \cdots \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial x} \right]^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\frac{\partial y_{11}}{\partial x} \cdots \frac{\partial y_{1n}}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial y_{m1}}{\partial x} \cdots \frac{\partial y_{mn}}{\partial x}$$

Gradients

• For a vector variable $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, differentiating a ...



• <u>Note</u>. the direction!

Figure 5.2 Dimensionality of (partial) derivative



Gradients

• For a matrix variable $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, differentiating a ...

Scalar function $y \in \mathbb{R}$:

 \bullet



• <u>Note</u>. again, the direction!



Reference for self-study

- MML book Sec. 5
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Matrix_calculus</u>

Condition	Expression	Numerator layout, i.e. by y and \mathbf{x}^{T}	Denominate layout, i.e. by and x
\mathbf{a} is not a function of \mathbf{x}	$rac{\partial {f a}}{\partial {f x}} =$)
	$rac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$		[
\mathbf{A} is not a function of \mathbf{x}	$rac{\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$	Α	\mathbf{A}^{\top}
\mathbf{A} is not a function of \mathbf{x}	$rac{\partial \mathbf{x}^ op \mathbf{A}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$	$\mathbf{A}^ op$	Α
a is not a function of \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$	$rac{\partial a {f u}}{\partial {f x}} =$	$a\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$	Du Dx
$v = v(\mathbf{x}),$ a is not a function of x	$rac{\partial v {f a}}{\partial {f x}} =$	$\mathbf{a}rac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{a}^\top$
$v = v(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$	$rac{\partial v \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$	$vrac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{u}rac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	$vrac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}+rac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$
A is not a function of x, $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$	$rac{\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} =$	$\mathbf{A}rac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{A}^\top$
$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$	$egin{array}{l} \displaystyle rac{\partial ({f u}+{f v})}{\partial {f x}} = \end{array}$	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$ -	$+ rac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$
$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$	$rac{\partial {f g}({f u})}{\partial {f x}} =$	$rac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}} rac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	$rac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} rac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$
$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$	$rac{\partial {f f}({f g}({f u}))}{\partial {f x}} =$	$\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g})}{\partial \mathbf{g}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$	$rac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} rac{\partial \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u})}{\partial \mathbf{u}} rac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$



Probability

Why probability?

• In ML, many things are **random**

Why probability?

- In ML, many things are **random**
 - The **data** is drawn randomly
 - Training data $Z_1, \cdots, Z_n \sim P$
 - Test data Z_r

 $Z_{\rm new} \sim \tilde{P}$

Why probability?

- In ML, many things are **random**
 - The **data** is drawn randomly
 - Training data $Z_1, \cdots, Z_n \sim P$
 - Test data $Z_{\rm new} \sim \tilde{P}$
 - **Components of learning algorithms** are randomly selected •
 - Examples. Initial parameter (neural nets, k-means) SGD ordering Noise
 - <u>Reason</u>. Enable efficient computation (Monte Carlo) Random "likely contains every direction"

Probability

- Mathematical foundation due to Kolmogorov (1930s)
- The **probability space** (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a triplet of
 - Sample space Ω
 - Set of all possible outcomes
 - Event space \mathcal{F}
 - Set of all events (set of outcomes)
 - Probability measure $P: \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$
 - Chances assigned to each event



Probability

- Consider **rolling a die**:
 - Sample space
 - $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
 - Event space

•
$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \emptyset, \{1\}, \dots, \{6\}, \{1,2\}, \dots, \{5\} \right\}$$

- **Probability measure** $P: \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$ (or probability distribution)
 - $P(\emptyset) = 0$, $P(\{1\}) = 1/6$, ..., $P(\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}) = 1$
 - <u>Note</u>. This should satisfy certain properties!



 $5,6\}, \dots, \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$



Probability Measure

- A probability measure is a function $P: \mathscr{F} \to [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms.
 - $P(\Omega) = 1$
 - i.e., an outcome will happen, eventually.

Probability Measure

- A probability measure is a function $P: \mathscr{F} \to [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms.
 - $P(\Omega) = 1$
 - i.e., an outcome will happen, eventually.
 - $P(A) \geq 0$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$
 - i.e., there is no such thing as negative probability

Probability Measure

• A probability measure is a function $P: \mathscr{F} \to [0,1]$ satisfying the following axioms.

• $P(\Omega) = 1$

- i.e., an outcome will happen, eventually.
- $P(A) \ge 0$, $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$
 - i.e., there is no such thing as negative probability
- $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$,



whenever $A \cup B = \emptyset$

• called "additivity" <-- should hold for any **countable** number of mutually exclusive events

• Note (advanced). To generalize to arbitrary space, people use special math (σ -algebra ...)

Random variable

Random variable

- We avoid dealing directly with the probability space (for a good reason)
 - A random variable is a real-valued function $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

Random variable

- We avoid dealing directly with the probability space (for a good reason)
 - A random variable is a real-valued function $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$
 - - - Simply use the shorthand P(X = 1)

• Example. For coin tossing where $\Omega = \{H, T\}$, we may define the random variable

 $X(H) = 1, \quad X(T) = 0$

• Here, we can say that the probability of X = 1 under P is equal to $P(\{H\})$

Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)

• A **CDF** is defined as

 $F_X(x) := P(X \le x)$


Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)

• A **CDF** is defined as

Properties.

- $0 \leq F_X(x) \leq 1$
- $F_X(-\infty) = 0$
- $F_X(\infty) = 1$
- If $x \leq y$, then $F_X(x) \leq F_X(y)$

 $F_X(x) := P(X \le x)$





Probability Mass Function (PMF)

• For a discrete random variable X, the PMF is defined as

 $p_X(x) := P(X = x)$



Probability Mass Function (PMF)

• For a <u>discrete random variable</u> *X*, the **PMF** is defined as

- Properties.
 - $0 \leq p_X(x) \leq 1$

$$\sum_{x} p_X(x) = 1$$

$$\sum_{x \in A} p_X(x) = P(X \in A)$$

 $p_X(x) := P(X = x)$



Probability Density Function (PDF)

- For a <u>continuous random variable X, the **PDF** is defined as</u>





Probability Density Function (PDF)

• For a <u>continuous random variable</u> *X*, the **PDF** is defined as

- Properties.
 - $0 \leq f_X(x)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 1$$

•
$$\int_A f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = P(X \in A)$$





Probability Density Function (PDF)

- <u>Note</u>. PDF is not really the probability itself
 - Only gives you an estimate via

 $P(x \le X \le x + dx) \approx p(x) dx$ > 1 used interchangeably with $f_X(x)$

• Thus, it is okay to have p(x) > 1

Joint distribution

• Characterized by the joint CDF



 $F_{XY}(x, y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$

Joint distribution

• Characterized by the joint CDF

- Marginal CDF can be recovered via

 $F_{XY}(x, y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$

$F_X(x) = \lim_{y \to \infty} F_{XY}(x, y), \qquad F_Y(y) = \lim_{x \to \infty} F_{XY}(x, y)$

Joint distribution

• Characterized by the **joint CDF**

Marginal CDF can be recovered via



• When discrete, we write the **joint PMF** as

 $p_{XY}(x, y) = P(X = x, Y = y)$

where we have $p_X(x) = \sum p_{XY}(x, y)$

 $F_{XY}(x, y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$

$F_X(x) = \lim_{y \to \infty} F_{XY}(x, y), \qquad F_Y(y) = \lim_{x \to \infty} F_{XY}(x, y)$

Conditional distribution

• **Conditional probability** of an event is given as

both A and B happening; $P(A \cap B)$, precisely





Conditional distribution

Conditional probability of an event is given as

 $P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$

Conditional PMF (discrete)

Conditional PDF (continuous)

 $f_{Y|X}(y|.$

 $p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{p_{XY}(x, y)}{p_X(x)}$

$$x) = \frac{f_{XY}(x, y)}{f(x)}$$

Basic arithmetics

• Product rule.

• Bayes' theorem.

p(x, y) = p(y | x)p(x)



Statistics of random variables

Expectation (1st order)

- For discrete random variables, the expected value is defined as a weighted sum

• For continuous r.v.s, defined as

$\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \sum g(x)p_X(x)$ X

 $\mathbb{E}[g(X)] = \int_{\mathbb{D}} g(x) f_X(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$

Expectation (1st order)

- For discrete random variables, the expected value is defined as a weighted sum

• For continuous r.v.s, defined as

- Properties.
 - $\mathbb{E}[a] = a$, for a constant a
 - $\mathbb{E}[af(X) + bg(X)] = a\mathbb{E}[f(X)] + b\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$





(linearity)

• The **variance** is defined as

Variance (2nd order)

$\operatorname{Var}[X] := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$





• The **variance** is defined as

- Properties. \bullet
 - Var[a] = 0, for constant a
 - $\operatorname{Var}[af(X)] = a^2 \operatorname{Var}[f(X)]$
- The standard deviation is defined as

$$\sigma_X = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$$

Variance (2nd order)

$Var[X] := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2]$







- Question. Suppose that we have a random variable X, with a known distribution P(X).

 $\min \mathbb{E}[(X-c)^2]$ $c \in \mathbb{R}$

• How much would the expected squared error be, for this estimate?

A fact

• What is our **best blind guess of** X when we want to minimize the expected squared error?

Another fact

jointly distributed with X?

• That is, $(X, Y) \sim p_{XY}$ and X is not known, Y is observed.

- Question. What is our best guess, if we are no longer blind and can utilize some observation Y

 $\min_{f} \mathbb{E}[(X - f(Y))^2]$



Covariance and Correlation

• Covariance measures the joint variability of two RVs.

• Related to whether one variable is predictive of another

 $\operatorname{Cov}[X, Y] := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$

cov(X,

 $cov(X,Y) \approx 0$

cov(X, Y) > 0



Covariance and Correlation

- Covariance measures the joint variability of two RVs.

 - Related to whether one variable is predictive of another
- (Pearson) Correlation is defined as

• lies in [-1,+1]

 $Cov[X, Y] := \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])]$









Independence

Independence

- Two random variables X and Y are **independent** whenever

• <u>Properties</u>. If independent...

•
$$p(y|x) = p(y)$$

- $\operatorname{Var}[X + Y] = \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y]$
- Cov[X, Y] = 0

p(x, y) = p(x)p(y)

Conditional independence

- Random variables X and Y are **conditionally independent given** Z whenever

• Denoted by $X \perp Y \mid Z$

 $p(x, y \mid z) = p(x \mid z)p(y \mid z)$

Conditional independence

Random variables X and Y are conditionally independent given Z whenever

- Denoted by $X \perp Y \mid Z$
- **Theorem.** We have $X \perp Y \mid Z_{h}$ if and only if there exists two functions g, h such that

 $p(x, y \mid z) = g(x, z)h(y, z)$

• Neat tool to verify the conditional independence (no need to check whether each are valid probability functions)

 $p(x, y \mid z) = p(x \mid z)p(y \mid z)$

Common probability distributions

Bernoulli (coin toss)

- A Bernoulli random variable $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ is a binary random variable with

- $\mathbb{E}[X] = p$
- Var[X] = p(1 p)

P(X = 1) = p, P(X = 0) = 1 - p

Binomial (many coin tosses)

- A **Binomial random variable** $X \sim Bin(n, p)$ is a discrete r.v. with
 - P(X = k) =

• Here, the shorthand is

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

- $\mathbb{E}[X] = np$
- Var[X] = np(1 p)

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$



Uniform

- Discrete. A uniform random variable $X \sim \text{Unif}(\{1, \dots, k\})$ is a r.v. with

 $P(X = 1) = \dots = P(X = k) = \frac{1}{k}$

Uniform

- <u>Discrete</u>. A uniform random variable $X \sim \text{Unif}(\{1, \dots, k\})$ is a r.v. with
- <u>Continuous</u>. A uniform random variable $X \sim \text{Unif}([a, b])$ is a r.v. with

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \mathbf{1} \{ x \in [a, b] \}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{a+b}{2}$$
$$\operatorname{Var}[X] = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$$

 $P(X = 1) = \dots = P(X = k) = \frac{1}{k}$



Gaussian (a.k.a. normal)

• A Gaussian random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is a continuous r.v. with



- $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu$
- Var[X] = σ^2
- Importance. The central limit theorem (homework: review)

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



Exponential

• An Exponential random variable $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ is a nonnegative continuous r.v. with

 $f_X(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

• Var[X] =
$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

- Models an event that can either stop or continue at each infinitesimal time
 - Closely related with Poisson r.v. (not discussed today)



• A Beta random variable $X \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a continuous r.v. with

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} x^{\alpha}$$

- Here, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function
 - Complicated, but satisfies $\Gamma(\alpha) = (\alpha 1)!$ for integer α

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$
$$\text{Var}[X] = \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)^2(\alpha + \beta + 1)}$$

• General version of uniform r.v.

Beta

 $x^{-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}, \quad x \in [0,1]$



• A Gamma random variable $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a continuous r.v. with

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

• Var[X] =
$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

Generalizes the exponential distribution

Gamma

```
-\beta^{\alpha}x^{\alpha-1}\exp(-\beta x)
```



Concentration inequalities

Concentration inequalities

- Gives more fine-grained information on the **tail behavior** of r.v.s
- Typically takes the form

$P(X - \mathbb{E}[X] > t) \leq \text{small value}$
Concentration inequalities

- Gives more fine-grained information on the **tail behavior** of r.v.s
- Typically takes the form



Example. Two random variables

have ...

- Same mean and variance
- Very different tail probabilities

 $P(X - \mathbb{E}[X] > t) \leq \text{small value}$

$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \quad Y \sim \text{Unif}([-\sqrt{3},\sqrt{3}])$

Standard inequalities

• Markov. For a nonnegative r.v. X, we have



Standard inequalities

• Markov. For a nonnegative r.v. X, we have

• **Chebyshev.** For a r.v. X with finite variance, we have

• A simple application of Markov's inequality



 $P(|X - \mathbf{E}[X]| \ge a) \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}, \qquad \forall a > 0$

Standard inequalities

• Chernoff. We have

$P(X \ge a) \le \mathbb{E}[\exp(t \cdot X)] \cdot \exp(-t \cdot a)$

- Another simple application of Markov's inequality
- Homework. Revisit moment-generating functions & cumulant-generating functions.

 Note (advanced). Hoeffding's inequality McDiarmid's inequality Bernstein's inequality $\cdot \exp(-t \cdot a) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0$

Further readings

- Bruce Hajek, "Random Processes for Engineers"
 - <u>https://hajek.ece.illinois.edu/ECE534Notes.html</u>

Next up

- Finally some machine learning stuff!
 - Starting from linear models

Cheers